arXiv:hep-lat/0002006v1 7 Feb 2000

KANAZAWA 99-09, June 1999

A Quantum Perfect Lattice Action for Monopoles and Strings

Shouji Fujimoto, Seikou Kato and Tsuneo Suzuki

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

A quantum perfect lattice action in four dimensions can be derived analytically as a renormalized trajectory when we perform a block spin transformation of monopole currents in a simple but non-trivial case of quadratic monopole interactions. The spectrum of the lattice theory is identical to that of the continuum theory. The perfect monopole action is transformed exactly into a lattice action of a string model. A perfect operator evaluating a static potential between electric charges is also derived explicitly. If the monopole interactions are weak as in the case of infrared $SU(2)$ QCD, the string interactions become strong. The static potential and the string tension is estimated analytically by the use of the strong coupling expansion and the continuum rotational invariance is restored completely.

PACS: 12.38.Gc, 11.15.Ha

Keywords: lattice QCD; perfect action;block spin transformation; monopole; dual transformation; string model

To obtain the continuum limit is crucial in the framework of lattice field theories. A block spin transformation which is one of renormalization group transformations is adopted usually on the lattice. A quantum perfect lattice action is an action on the renormalized trajectory on which one can take the continuum limit.

In principle, we obtain the renormalized trajectory when we perform infinite steps (the $n \to \infty$ limit) of block spin transformations for fixed physical length $b = na$ where a is the lattice constant. But this is actually impossible in ordinary cases. What we can do in actual simulations is to approach the renormalized trajectory carrying out as many steps of block spin transformations as possible on a finite lattice N^4. If the effective action $S(n, a, N)$ obtained satisfies well the two conditions that (1) $S(n, a, N)$ is a function of $b = na$ alone (the scaling behavior and volume independence) and (2) the continuum rotational invariance is satisfied, then the effective action could be regarded as a good approximation of the renormalized trajectory. The first condition can be checked when we compare $S(n, a, N)$ themselves for various a, n and N^4. But to test the rotational invariance, we have to determine the correct form of physical operators on the blocked lattice. It is the perfect lattice operator on the renormalized trajectory which reproduces the continuum rotational invariance. To find the perfect lattice operator is highly nontrivial, too.

The purpose of this note is to give a simple but a non-trivial lattice model composed of general monopole quadratic interactions alone with which a block spin transformation can be done analytically. The renormalized trajectory and the perfect operator corresponding to a potential between static electric charges can be derived analytically. This is similar to the blocking from the continuum theory as developed by Bietenholz and Wiese [1]. The spectrum of the lattice theory is the same as in the continuum theory. The continuum rotational invariance is shown exactly with the operator. In addition, this model is very interesting, since the effective monopole action obtained after an abelian projection of pure $SU(2)$ lattice QCD is known to be well dominated by such quadratic monopole interactions alone in the infrared region [2–5].

Let us start from the following action composed of quadratic interactions between magnetic monopole currents. It is formulated on an infinite lattice with very small lattice constant a:

$$S[k]=\sum_{s,s',\mu}k_\mu(s)D_0(s-s')k_\mu(s'). \tag{1}$$

Since we are starting from the region very near to the continuum limit, it is natural to assume the direction independence of $D_0(s-s')$. Also we have adopted only parallel interactions, since we can avoid perpendicular interactions from short-distance terms using the current conservation. Moreover, for simplicity, we adopt only the first three Laurent expansions, i.e., Coulomb, self and nearest-neighbor interactions. Explicitly, $D_0(s-s')$ is expressed as $\beta\Delta_L^{-1}(s-s')+\alpha\delta_{s,s'}+\gamma\Delta_L(s-s')$. Here $\Delta_L(s-s')=-\sum_\mu \partial_\mu\partial'_\mu\delta_{s,s'}$ and $\partial(\partial')$ is the forward (backward) difference. Including more complicated quadratic interactions is not difficult.

How to evaluate a static potential between electrically charged particles is a problem. It is known that the theory with the above action (1) is equivalent to an abelian gauge theory of the Villain form [6,7]. In this model, it is natural to use an abelian Wilson loop $W(\mathcal{C})=\exp i\sum_{\mathcal{C}}(\theta_\mu(s),J_\mu(s))$, where $\theta_\mu(s)$ is an abelian angle variable of the modified Villain action. Also the theory with the above action (1) can be rewritten [7] in the lattice form of the modified London limit of the dual abelian Higgs model [8]. The static potential is evaluated by a 'tHooft operator in the model. However the expectation values of both operators are not completely equivalent, although the term of the area law is the same [9]. When use is made of BKT transformation [10,11,7,9], we see that the area law term is given correctly also by the following operator in the monopole action [1]:

$$W_m(\mathcal{C})=\exp\Big(2\pi i\sum_{s,\mu}N_\mu(s,S^J)k_\mu(s)\Big), \tag{2}$$

$$N_\mu(s,S_J)=\sum_{s'}\Delta_L^{-1}(s-s')\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}\partial_\alpha S^J_{\beta\gamma}(s'+\hat{\mu}), \tag{3}$$

---

[1] Using the monopole definition à la DeGrand-Toussaint, we can prove it also directly from abelian Wilson loops [12,13].

where $S^J_{\beta\gamma}(s'+\hat\mu)$ is a plaquette variable satisfying $\partial'_\beta S^J_{\beta\gamma}(s) = J_\gamma(s)$ and the coordinate displacement $\hat\mu$ is due to the interaction between dual variables. It is possible to prove that any choice of $S^J_{\beta\gamma}(s)$ for fixed electric currents $J_\gamma(s)$ gives the same value in the continuum limit $a \to 0$, since the difference is a closed surface and the exponent of $W_m(C)$ for the difference is just the four-dimensional linking number times $2\pi i$. Hence we take a flat surface for $S^J_{\beta\gamma}(s)$ in the following. Since the area law term is the same, let us consider only the operator (2) in the following. The details of the definition of the operator evaluating the static potential are discussed in Ref. [9].

Now let us define a blocked monopole current [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu(s^{(n)}) &= \sum_{i,j,l=0}^{n-1} k_\mu(ns^{(n)} + (n-1)\hat\mu + i\hat\nu + j\hat\rho + l\hat\sigma) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{B}_{k_\mu}(s^{(n)}). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

With this definition, the current $K_\mu(s^{(n)})$ on the coarse lattice with a lattice distance $b = na$ satisfies the current conservation $\partial'_\mu K_\mu(s^{(n)}) = \sum_\mu (K_\mu(s^{(n)}) - K_\mu(s^{(n)} - b\hat\mu)) = 0$. The block spin transformation is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} Z[K,J] = \sum_{\substack{k_\mu=-\infty \\ \partial'_\mu k_\mu = 0}}^{\infty} &\exp\Big\{ -\sum_{s,s',\mu} k_\mu(s) D_0(s-s') k_\mu(s') + 2\pi i \sum_{s,\mu} N_\mu(s) k_\mu(s) \Big\} \\ &\times \delta\Big(K_\mu(s^{(n)}) - \mathcal{B}_{k_\mu}(s^{(n)}) \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The vacuum expectation value of the Wilson loop (2) is written in terms of $K_\mu(s^{(n)})$ as follows:

$$\langle W_m(\mathcal{C}) \rangle = \sum_{\substack{K_\mu=-\infty \\ \partial'_\mu K_\mu = 0}}^{\infty} Z[K,J] \Bigg/ \sum_{\substack{K_\mu=-\infty \\ \partial'_\mu K_\mu = 0}}^{\infty} Z[K,0]. \tag{6}$$

Introducing auxiliary field ϕ and γ, we rewrite the constraints $\partial'_\mu k_\mu = 0$ and $K_\mu(s^{(n)}) = \mathcal{B}_{k_\mu}(s^{(n)})$. Then we change the integral region of γ and ϕ from the first Brillouin zone to the infinite region, since the monopole currents take integer values. Making use of the Poisson sum rule and recovering dimensional lattice constants a and $b = na$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z[K,J] \;\propto & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathcal{D}\gamma \exp\left\{ib^4\sum_{x^{(n)},\mu}\gamma_\mu(bs^{(n)})K_\mu(bs^{(n)})\right\}\\
&\times\sum_{\substack{al=-\infty\\ al\in Z}}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathcal{D}\phi\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathcal{D}F\;\exp\Bigg\{-a^8\sum_{s,s',\mu}F_\mu(as)D_0(as-as')F_\mu(as')\\
&+ia^4\sum_{s,\mu}\Big[2\pi N_\mu(as)+\phi(as)\partial'_\mu+2\pi l_\mu(as)\Big]F_\mu(as)-a^4\sum_{s^{(n)},\mu}n\gamma_\mu(nas^{(n)})\mathcal{B}_{F_\mu}(s^{(n)})\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}
$$

Since we take the continuum limit $a\to 0$ finally, $l=0$ alone may remains in the sum with respect to $al\in Z$. Carrying out explicitly integrals with respect to F, ϕ, γ and taking the continuum limit $a\to 0$, we obtain the expectation value of the operator and the effective action on the coarse lattice:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle W(\mathcal{C})\rangle = & \exp\Bigg\{-\pi^2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d^4xd^4y\sum_\mu N_\mu(x)D_0^{-1}(x-y)N_\mu(y)\\
&+\pi^2b^8\sum_{\substack{s^{(n)},s^{(n)\prime}\\ \mu,\nu}}B_\mu(bs^{(n)})A'^{\mathrm{GF}-1}_{\mu\nu}(bs^{(n)}-bs^{(n)\prime})B_\nu(bs^{(n)\prime})\Bigg\}\\
&\times\sum_{\substack{b^3K_\mu(bs)=-\infty\\ \partial'_\mu K_\mu=0}}^{\infty}\exp\Bigg\{-b^8\sum_{\substack{s^{(n)},s^{(n)\prime}\\ \mu,\nu}}K_\mu(bs^{(n)})A'^{\mathrm{GF}-1}_{\mu\nu}(bs^{(n)}-bs^{(n)\prime})K_\nu(bs^{(n)\prime})\\
&+2\pi ib^8\sum_{\substack{s^{(n)},s^{(n)\prime}\\ \mu,\nu}}B_\mu(bs^{(n)})A'^{\mathrm{GF}-1}_{\mu\nu}(bs^{(n)}-bs^{(n)\prime})K_\nu(bs^{(n)\prime})\Bigg\}\Bigg/\sum_{\substack{b^3K_\mu(bs)=-\infty\\ \partial'_\mu K_\mu=0}}^{\infty}Z[K,0],
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

where

$$
B_\mu(bs^{(n)})\equiv\lim_{\substack{a\to 0\\ n\to\infty}}a^8\sum_{s,s',\nu}\Pi_{\neg\mu}(bs^{(n)}-as)A_{\mu\nu}(as-as')N_\nu(as'),
\tag{9}
$$

$$
\Pi_{\neg\mu}(bs^n-as)\equiv\frac{1}{n^3}\delta\left(nas^{(n)}_\mu+(n-1)a-as_\mu\right)\times\prod_{i(\neq\mu)}\left(\sum_{I=0}^{n-1}\delta\left(nas^{(n)}_i+Ia-as_i\right)\right),
\tag{10}
$$

$$
A_{\mu\nu}(as-as')\equiv\left\{\delta_{\mu\nu}-\frac{\partial_\mu\partial'_\nu}{\sum_\rho\partial_\rho\partial'_\rho}\right\}D_0^{-1}(as-as').
\tag{11}
$$

$A'^{\mathrm{GF}-1}_{\mu\nu}(bs^{(n)}-bs^{(n)\prime})$ is a gauge-fixed inverse of the following operator:

$$
A'_{\mu\nu}(bs^{(n)}-bs^{(n)\prime})\equiv a^8\sum_{s,s'}\Pi_{\neg\mu}(bs^{(n)}-as)A_{\mu\nu}(as-as')\Pi_{\neg\nu}(bs^{(n)\prime}-as').
\tag{12}
$$

Here we have used $\sum_\mu\partial_\mu N_\mu(s)=0$ and have adopted a gauge including $\lambda\{\partial_\mu\gamma_\mu(bs^{(n)})\}^2$ in the integral with respect to γ.

The momentum representation of the gauge fixed propagator is given explicitly by

$$A'^{\rm GF}_{\mu\nu}(p) = \left\{ A'_{\mu\nu}(p) + \lambda \hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu \right\} e^{i(p_\mu - p_\nu)/2}, \tag{13}$$

where $\hat{p}_\mu = 2\sin(p_\mu/2)$ and $A'_{\mu\nu}(p)$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned} A'_{\mu\nu}(p) \equiv & \left(\prod_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{l_i=-\infty}^{\infty} \right) \left\{ D_0^{-1}(p+2\pi l) \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{(p+2\pi l)_\mu (p+2\pi l)_\nu}{\sum_i (p+2\pi l)_i^2} \right] \frac{(p+2\pi l)_\mu (p+2\pi l)_\nu}{\prod_i (p+2\pi l)_i^2} \right\} \\ & \times \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{4} \hat{p}_i \right)^2}{\hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

From the gauge invariance condition $\sum_\mu \partial'_\mu A'_{\mu\nu}(s-s') = 0$, we get $\sum_\mu \hat{p}_\mu A'_{\mu\nu}(p) = 0$. The inverse of $A'^{\rm GF}_{\mu\nu}(p)$ is as follows:

$$A'^{\rm GF-1}_{\mu\nu}(p) = D_{\mu\nu}(p) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu}{(\sum_i \hat{p}_i^2)^2} e^{i(p_\mu - p_\nu)/2}, \tag{15}$$

where $D_{\mu\nu}(p)$ is the λ independent part of $A'^{\rm GF-1}_{\mu\nu}(p)$). To derive the explicit form of $D_{\mu\nu}(p)$ is not so easy. However, evaluating the determinant and cofactors of the matrix $A'^{\rm GF}_{\mu\nu}(p)$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mu\nu} = & \frac{3}{\left\{ \left(\sum_a A'_{aa}\right)^3 - 3\left(\sum_a A'_{aa}\right)\left(\sum_{ab} A'_{ab} A'_{ba}\right) + 2\left(\sum_{abc} A'_{ab} A'_{bc} A'_{ca}\right) \right\}} \\ & \times \left[\left\{ \left(\sum_a A'_{aa} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{ab} A'_{ab} A'_{ba} \right) \right\} \delta_{\mu\nu} - 2 \left(\sum_a A'_{aa} \right) A'_{\mu\nu} + 2 \sum_a A'_{\mu a} A'_{a\nu} \right. \\ & \left. - \left\{ \left(\sum_a A'_{aa} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{ab} A'_{ab} A'_{ba} \right) \right\} \frac{\hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu}{\sum_a \hat{p}_a^2} \right] e^{i(p_\mu - p_\nu)/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since $\sum_\mu \partial'_\mu K_\mu(bs^{(n)}) = \sum_\mu \partial'_\mu B_\mu(bs^{(n)}) = 0$, Eq.(8) is independent of the gauge parameter λ.

Now let us evaluate the spectrum of the monopole current $K_\mu(s^{(n)})$ on the coarse lattice. Define an operator with definite spatial momentum $\vec{p}$:

$$K_i(\vec{p})_{x_4} = \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \frac{dp_4}{2\pi} K_i(\vec{p}, p_4) e^{ip_4 x_4}.$$

Then the correlation function is written as

$$\langle K_i(\vec{x}, 0) K_i(\vec{p})_{x_4} \rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \frac{dp_4}{2\pi} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} e^{ip_4 x_4} \langle K_i(\vec{k}, k_4) K_i(\vec{p}, p_4) \rangle. \tag{17}$$

Since the monopole action on the b-lattice is written as $\sum_{\substack{s,s'\\ \mu,\nu}} K_\mu(s)D_{\mu\nu}(s-s')K_\nu(s')$, we see that the spectrum is essentially fixed by the gauge invariant part of the inverse of $D_{\mu\nu}(s-s')$. In (17), it is $\delta^4(k+p)A'_{ii}(\vec{p},p_4)$, where

$$A'_{ii}(\vec{p},p_4) = \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{4}\hat{p}_i\right)^2}{\hat{p}_i\hat{p}_i}\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(\sum_{l_i=-\infty}^{\infty}\right)\Bigg\{D_0^{-1}(p+2\pi l)\left[\delta_{ii}-\frac{(p+2\pi l)_i(p+2\pi l)_i}{\sum_i(p+2\pi l)_i^2}\right]$$
$$\frac{(p+2\pi l)_i(p+2\pi l)_i}{\prod_i(p+2\pi l)_i^2}\Bigg\}.$$

The integral

$$\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi}\frac{dp_4}{2\pi}e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}e^{ip_4x_4}A'_{ii}(\vec{p},p_4)$$

can be performed when we change $p_4+2\pi l_4 \to p_4$ and $\sum_{l_4}\int_{-\pi+2\pi l_4}^{\pi+2\pi l_4} \to \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$. Here

$$D_0^{-1}(p) = \kappa\left(\frac{m_1^2}{p^2+m_1^2}-\frac{m_2^2}{p^2+m_2^2}\right),$$

where κ, m_1 and m_2 are expressed by the original couplings in Eq.(1) as $\kappa(m_1^2-m_2^2)=\gamma^{-1}$, $m_1^2+m_2^2=\alpha/\gamma$ and $m_1^2m_2^2=\beta/\gamma$. Hence the p_4 integral gives us

$$e^{-E_i(\vec{p}+2\pi\vec{l})x_4},$$

where $E_i(\vec{p}+2\pi\vec{l})^2=-p_4^2=(\vec{p}+2\pi\vec{l})^2+m_i^2$. The spectrum is identical with the one of the continuum.

The above monopole action can be transformed exactly into that of the string model [15,16]. When use is made of the Poisson sum rule, we write the monopole part of Eq.(8) as

$$\sum_{\substack{K_\mu=-\infty\\ \partial'_\mu K_\mu=0}}^{\infty}\exp\Big\{-\sum_{\substack{s,s'\\ \mu,\nu}}K_\mu(s)D_{\mu\nu}(s-s')K_\nu(s')+2\pi i\sum_{\substack{s,s'\\ \mu,\nu}}B_\mu(s)D_{\mu\nu}(s-s')K_\nu(s')\Big\}$$
$$=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\mathcal{D}F_\mu(s)\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi}\mathcal{D}\phi(s)\sum_{K_\mu(s)=-\infty}^{\infty}\exp\Big\{-\sum_{\substack{s,s'\\ \mu,\nu}}F_\mu(s)D_{\mu\nu}(s-s')F_\nu(s')$$
$$+i\sum_{s,\mu}F_\mu(s)\Big[\partial_\mu\phi(s)+2\pi\sum_{s',\mu,\nu}D_{\mu\nu}(s-s')B_\nu(s')\Big]\Big\},$$
$$=\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi}\mathcal{D}\phi(s)\sum_{l_\mu(s)=-\infty}^{\infty}\exp\Big\{-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\substack{s,s'\\ \mu,\nu}}\Big[\partial_\mu\phi(s)+2\pi l_\mu(s)+2\pi\sum_{s_1,\mu,\alpha}D_{\mu\alpha}(s-s_1)B_\alpha(s_1)\Big]$$
$$D_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(s-s')\Big[\partial_\nu\phi(s')+2\pi l_\nu(s')+2\pi\sum_{s_2,\nu,\beta}D_{\nu\beta}(s'-s_2)B_\beta(s_2)\Big]\Big\}. \qquad (18)$$

Performing BKT transformation and Hodge decomposition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l_\mu(s) &= s_\mu(s) + \partial_\mu r(s) \\ &= \partial_\mu \Big\{ -\sum_{s'} \Delta_L^{-1}{}_{s,s'} \partial'_\nu s_\nu(s') + r_\mu(s') \Big\} + \sum_{s'} \partial'_\nu \Delta_L^{-1}{}_{s,s'} \sigma_{\nu\mu}(s'), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $\sigma_{\nu\mu}(s) \equiv \partial_{[\mu} s_{\nu]}$ is the closed string variable satisfying the conservation rule

$$\partial_{[\alpha}\sigma_{\mu\nu]} = \partial_\alpha \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \sigma_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_\nu \sigma_{\alpha\mu} = 0. \tag{20}$$

The compact field $\phi(s)$ is absorbed into a non-compact field $\phi_{NC}(s)$. Integrating out the auxiliary non-compact field, we see

$$\begin{aligned} (18) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_{\mu\nu}(s)=-\infty \\ \partial_{[\alpha}\sigma_{\mu\nu]}(s)=0}}^{\infty} \exp\Bigg\{ &-\pi^2 \sum_{\substack{s,s' \\ \mu\neq\alpha \\ \nu\neq\beta}} \sigma_{\mu\alpha}(s)\partial_\alpha\partial'_\beta D^{-1}_{\mu\nu}(s-s_1)\Delta_L^{-2}(s_1-s')\sigma_{\nu\beta}(s') \\ &-2\pi^2 \sum_{\substack{s,s' \\ \mu,\nu}} \sigma_{\mu\nu}(s)\partial_\mu \Delta_L^{-1}(s-s')B_\nu(s') - \pi^2 \sum_{\substack{s,s' \\ \mu,\nu}} B_\mu(s) D_{\mu\nu}(s-s') B_\nu(s') \Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The term independent of the string variable exactly cancels the second classical term of Eq.(8). We find finally

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_m(\mathcal{C}) \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\Bigg\{ &-\pi^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4x d^4y \sum_\mu N_\mu(x) D_0^{-1}(x-y) N_\mu(y) \Bigg\} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{\sigma_{\mu\nu}(s)=-\infty \\ \partial_{[\alpha}\sigma_{\mu\nu]}(s)=0}}^{\infty} \exp\Bigg\{ &-\pi^2 \sum_{\substack{s,s' \\ \mu\neq\alpha \\ \nu\neq\beta}} \sigma_{\mu\alpha}(s)\partial_\alpha\partial'_\beta D^{-1}_{\mu\nu}(s-s_1)\Delta_L^{-2}(s_1-s')\sigma_{\nu\beta}(s') \\ &-2\pi^2 \sum_{\substack{s,s' \\ \mu,\nu}} \sigma_{\mu\nu}(s)\partial_\mu \Delta_L^{-1}(s-s')B_\nu(s') \Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

It is very interesting that we can evaluate analytically the potential between the static electric charges when the monopole action on the dual lattice is in the weak coupling region for large b as realized in the infrared region of pure $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ QCD. Then the string model on the original lattice is in the strong coupling region. As shown later explicitly, the potential between the static electric charges is then evaluated mainly by the first classical part of Eq.(22) alone. Hence let us evaluate first the classical part. Since the classical part is written in the continuum form, the continuum rotational invariance for any b lattice site is trivial.

The plaquette variable $S_{\alpha\beta}$ in Eq.(3) for the static potential $V(Ib,0,0)$ is expressed by

$$S_{\alpha\beta}(z) = \delta_{\alpha 1}\delta_{\beta 4}\delta(z_2)\delta(z_3)\theta(z_1)\theta(Ib-z_1)\theta(z_4)\theta(Tb-z_4). \tag{23}$$

Also the variable $S_{\alpha\beta}$ for the static potential $V(Ib,Ib,0)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha\beta}(z) = &\Big(\delta_{\alpha 1}\delta_{\beta 4}+\delta_{\alpha 2}\delta_{\beta 4}\Big)\delta(z_3)\theta(z_4)\theta(Tb-z_4) \\ &\times\theta(z_1)\theta(Ib-z_1)\theta(z_2)\theta(Ib-z_2)\delta(z_1-z_2). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Let us evaluate $V(Ib,0,0)$ as an example. The Fourier transform of $S_{\alpha\beta}(z)$ in this case is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha\beta}(p) &= \Big(\delta_{\alpha 4}\delta_{\beta 1}-\delta_{\alpha 1}\delta_{\beta 4}\Big)\int_0^{Ib} dz_1 e^{-ip_1 z_1}\int_0^{Tb} dz_4 e^{-ip_4 z_4}, \\ &= \Big(\delta_{\alpha 4}\delta_{\beta 1}-\delta_{\alpha 1}\delta_{\beta 4}\Big)\left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right)e^{-i\frac{p_1 bI}{2}}\sin(\frac{p_1 Ib}{2})\left(\frac{2}{p_4}\right)e^{-i\frac{p_4 bT}{2}}\sin(\frac{p_4 Tb}{2}). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Since we study large T and large b behaviors, we use the following formula:

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\left(\frac{\sin\alpha T}{\alpha}\right)^2 = \pi T\delta(\alpha). \tag{26}$$

We get

$$\langle W(Ib,0,0,Tb)\rangle \underset{\substack{T\to\infty\\ b\to\infty}}{\longrightarrow} \exp\left\{-\pi^2(TIb^2)\int\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{\Delta D_0}\right](0,p_2,p_3,0)\right\}. \tag{27}$$

Similarly we can evaluate $\langle W(Ib,Ib,0,Tb)\rangle$ from the classical term. The static potentials $V(Ib,0,0)$ and $V(Ib,Ib,0)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} V(Ib,0,0) &= \pi^2(Ib)\int\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{\Delta D_0}\right](0,p_2,p_3,0), \\ &= \frac{\pi\kappa Ib}{2}\ln\frac{m_1}{m_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$V(Ib,Ib,0) = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi\kappa Ib}{2}\ln\frac{m_1}{m_2}. \tag{29}$$

The potentials from the classical part take only the linear form and the rotational invariance is recovered completely even for the nearest $I=1$ sites. The string tension from the classical part is evaluated as

$$\sigma_{cl} = \frac{\pi\kappa}{2} \ln \frac{m_1}{m_2}. \tag{30}$$

This is consistent with the analytical results [8] in Type-2 superconductor. The two constants m_1 and m_2 may be regarded as the coherence and the penetration lengths.

Next let us evaluate the quantum fluctuation coming from the interaction of the string variable and the classical source. Since we have introduced the source term corresponding to the Wilson loop on the fine a lattice, the recovery of the rotational invariance of the static potential is naturally expected also for the quantum fluctuation. Hence here we evaluate the quantum fluctuation for the flat Wilson loop $W(Ib, 0, 0, Tb)$. Then it is to be emphasized that the same static potential for the flat Wilson loop can be obtained for $I, T \to \infty$ when we consider the naive Wilson loop operator on the course b lattice instead of that on the fine lattice (2):

$$\tilde{W}_m(\mathcal{C}) = \exp\Big(2\pi i \sum_{s,\mu} \tilde{N}_\mu(s, S^J) K_\mu(s)\Big), \tag{31}$$

$$\tilde{N}_\mu(s, S_J) = \sum_{s'} \Delta_L^{-1}(s-s') \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\alpha \tilde{S}^J_{\beta\gamma}(s'+\hat{\mu}), \tag{32}$$

where $\tilde{S}^J_{\beta\gamma}(s'+\hat{\mu})$ is a flat plaquette variable satisfying $\partial'_\beta \tilde{S}^J_{\beta\gamma}(s) = \tilde{J}_\gamma(s)$ and $\tilde{J}_\gamma(s)$ is the electric current on the course lattice.

Similar arguments as above shows that the expectation value of the operator $\tilde{W}_m(\mathcal{C})$ is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{W}_m(\mathcal{C}) \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\Big\{ &- \pi^2 \sum_{\substack{s,s' \\ \mu,\nu}} \sum_{\mu} \tilde{N}_\mu(s) D^{-1}_{\mu\nu}(s-s') \tilde{N}_\nu(s') \Big\} \\
\times \sum_{\substack{\sigma_{\mu\nu}(s)=-\infty \\ \partial_{[\alpha}\sigma_{\mu\nu]}(s)=0}}^{\infty} \exp\Big\{ &- \pi^2 \sum_{\substack{s,s' \\ \mu\neq\alpha \\ \nu\neq\beta}} \sigma_{\mu\alpha}(s) \partial_\alpha \partial'_\beta D^{-1}_{\mu\nu}(s-s_1) \Delta_L^{-2}(s_1-s') \sigma_{\nu\beta}(s') \\
&- 2\pi^2 \sum_{\substack{s,s' \\ \mu,\nu}} \sigma_{\mu\nu}(s) \partial_\mu \Delta_L^{-1}(s-s_1) D_{\nu\alpha}(s_1-s') \tilde{N}_\alpha(s') \Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Here we note that $D^{-1}_{\mu\nu}(s-s')$ is given by Eq.(12) and that the Fourier transform $\tilde{S}_{\beta\gamma}(k)$ of $\tilde{S}^J_{\beta\gamma}(s)$ is similar to Eq.(25) with the momentum defined on the course lattice. Hence the first classical part becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\pi^2 \sum_{\substack{s,s' \\ \mu,\nu}} N_\mu(s) D^{-1}_{\mu\nu}(s-s') N_\nu(s') \\
&= 4\pi^2 \epsilon_{\mu\alpha14}\epsilon_{\nu\beta14} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Delta_L^{-2}(k) \sin\left(\frac{k_\alpha}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{k_\beta}{2}\right) e^{i(\frac{k_\alpha}{2}-\frac{k_\beta}{2})} \\
&\times \quad \tilde{S}_{14}(k)\tilde{S}_{14}(-k) \sum_l \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{(k+2\pi l)_\mu (k+2\pi l)_\nu}{(k+2\pi l)^2}\right) D_0^{-1}(k+2\pi l) \\
&\times \quad \Pi_{\substack{i\neq\mu \\ j\neq\nu}} \pi_i(k+2\pi l)\pi_j^*(k+2\pi l),
\end{aligned} \tag{34}
$$

where

$$
\pi_i(k) = \frac{\sin(k_i/2)}{k_i/2} e^{-ik_i/2}. \tag{35}
$$

Changing the integral variable as $k + 2\pi l \to k$, we can absorb the summation with respect to l using the integral over the infinite momentum range. When we use Eq.(26) for large I and T, we find the classical part (34) agrees exactly with (27).

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s'} D^{-1}_{\mu\nu}(s-s') N_\nu(s') \\
&= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_l \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{(k+2\pi l)_\mu (k+2\pi l)_\nu}{(k+2\pi l)^2}\right) D_0^{-1}(k+2\pi l) \\
&\times \quad \Pi_{\substack{i\neq\mu \\ j\neq\nu}} \pi_i^*(k+2\pi l)\pi_j(k+2\pi l) e^{ik_\mu} 2i\epsilon_{\nu\alpha14} \Delta_L^{-1}(k) \sin\left(\frac{k_\alpha}{2}\right) e^{i\frac{k_\alpha}{2}} \frac{\tilde{\pi}_1(k)\tilde{\pi}_4(k)}{\pi_1(k)\pi_4(k)} e^{iks},
\end{aligned} \tag{36}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\pi}_1(k) = \frac{\sin(k_1 I/2)}{k_1/2} e^{-ik_1 I/2}, \qquad \tilde{\pi}_4(k) = \frac{\sin(k_4 T/2)}{k_4/2} e^{-ik_4 T/2}. \tag{37}
$$

When use is made of

$$
\sin\left(\frac{k_1 I}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{k_1}{2}\right) = \sin^2\left(\frac{k_1(I+1)}{4}\right) - \sin^2\left(\frac{k_1(I-1)}{4}\right), \tag{38}
$$

we get for large I and T

$$
\pi_1^*(k)\tilde{\pi}_1(k)\pi_4^*(k)\tilde{\pi}_4(k) = (2\pi)^2 \delta(k_1)\delta(k_4) e^{i(1-I)k_1/2} e^{i(1-T)k_4/2}. \tag{39}
$$

We find for large I and T that $\sum_{s'} D^{-1}_{\mu\nu}(s-s')N_\nu(s')$ is equivalent to $B_\mu(s)$ in (9).

Hence the expectation value of the naive Wilson loop $\langle\tilde{W}_m(\mathcal{C})\rangle$ in (33) coincides with that of the perfect operator in (22). Now we introduce the dual string variable ${}^*\sigma$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mu\nu}(s) &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}{}^*\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(s+\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta}), \\ {}^*\bar{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(s) &\equiv {}^*\sigma_{\alpha\beta}+S_{\alpha\beta}(s). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Then the expectation value (33) can be expressed simply as

$$\langle\tilde{W}_m(\mathcal{C})\rangle = \frac{\sum_{{}^*\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}(s)=-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{\substack{s\\ \mu,\nu}}\delta(\partial'_\mu{}^*\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}(s)-\tilde{J}_\nu(s))\exp\{-S({}^*\bar{\sigma})\}}{\sum_{{}^*\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}(s)=-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{\substack{s\\ \mu,\nu}}\delta(\partial'_\mu{}^*\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}(s))\exp\{-S({}^*\bar{\sigma})\}}, \tag{41}$$

where

$$S({}^*\bar{\sigma}) = \pi^2\sum_{\substack{s,s'\\ \mu,\nu}}(\partial_\alpha\Delta_L^{-1}(s-s_1)\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\mu\beta\gamma}{}^*\bar{\sigma}_{\beta\gamma}(s_1+\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\mu}))D^{-1}_{\mu\nu}(s-s') \tag{42}$$

$$\times(\partial_\beta\Delta_L^{-1}(s'-s_2)\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\beta\nu\eta\delta}{}^*\bar{\sigma}_{\eta\delta}(s_2+\hat{\beta}+\hat{\nu})). \tag{43}$$

The strong coupling expansion can be shown in Fig.1. The leading term is the same as the classical contribution in (34). The next-leading term is a house-type diagram with one 1×1 cube attached on the flat surface. Then the open surface variable ${}^*\bar{\sigma}$ has four more plaquettes than the leading one. If the self coupling term between the string variables ${}^*\bar{\sigma}$ is dominant as in $SU(2)$ QCD, the next to leading term is estimated as $\exp(-\sigma_{cl}ITb^2-4\Pi(0)b^2)$, where σ_{cl} is the string tension from the classical part (30) and $\Pi(0)$ is the self coupling constant. Considering the entropy factor $4IT$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\tilde{W}_m(\mathcal{C})\rangle &= \exp\{-\sigma_{cl}ITb^2\}+4IT\exp\{-\sigma_{cl}ITb^2-4\Pi(0)b^2\}+\cdots, \\ &\simeq \exp\{-\sigma_{cl}ITb^2\}\exp\{4ITe^{-4\Pi(0)b^2}\}, \\ &\equiv \exp\{-\sigma ITb^2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Hence the string tension becomes

$$\sigma = \sigma_{cl}-\frac{4}{b^2}e^{-4\Pi(0)b^2}. \tag{45}$$

Applications to actual pure $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ QCD will be published elsewhere [9,18] and the quantum fluctuation term will be found to be very small there.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

T.S. acknowledges the financial support from JSPS Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research (B) (No.10440073 and No.11695029).

REFERENCES

[1] W.Bietenholz and U.J.Wiese, Nucl.Phys. B464(1996) 319.

[2] H. Shiba and T. Suzuki, Phys. Lett. **B 343** (1995) 315.

[3] H. Shiba and T. Suzuki, Phys. Lett. **B 351** (1995) 519 and references therein.

[4] S.Kato et al, Nucl.Phys.B520(1998) 323.

[5] S.Kato et al, Proceedings of Lattice '98.

[6] J. Smit and A.J. van der Sijs, Nucl. Phys. **B355** (1991) 603.

[7] M.N. Chernodub and M.I. Polikarpov, unpublished.

[8] T. Suzuki, Prog.Theor.Phys. **80** (1988) 929; **81** (1989) 752; S. Maedan and T. Suzuki, Prog.Theor.Phys. **80** (1988) 929; S. Maedan *et al*, Prog.Theor.Phys. **84** (1990) 130.

[9] S.Kato et al, Preprint KANAZAWA 99-26.

[10] V.L. Beresinskii, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **32** (1970) 493.

[11] J.M. Kosterlitz and D.J. Thouless, *J. Phys.*,**C6** (1973) 1181.

[12] J.D. Stack, S.D. Nieman and R.J. Wensley, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 3399.

[13] H. Shiba and T. Suzuki, Phys. Lett. **B 333** (1994) 461.

[14] T.L. Ivanenko, A.V. Pochinskii and M.I. Polikarpov, Phys. Lett. **B 252** (1990) 631.

[15] M.I.Polikarpov et al, Phys.Lett.B309(1993) 133.

[16] M.Chernodub et al, Preprint KANAZAWA 98-19, ITEP-TH-61/98.

[17] S.Kato et al, Talk in Lattice '99.

[18] K.Yamagishi et al, Preprint KANAZAWA 99-27.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The strong coupling expansion of the Wilson loop calculation.